

**AFPP – 6<sup>e</sup> CONFÉRENCE SUR LES MOYENS ALTERNATIFS DE PROTECTION  
POUR UNE PRODUCTION INTEGRÉE  
LILLE – 21, 22 ET 23 MARS 2017**

**SOUCHES BACTERIENNES INDIGENES A CARACTERE SUPPRESSIF ISOLEES DE DEUX RHIZOSPHERES  
DIFFERENTES**

N. HAICHOOR <sup>(1)</sup>, S. MEZAACHE-AICHOOR <sup>(1)</sup>, N. SAYEH <sup>(1)</sup>, A. GUECHI <sup>(1)</sup> AND M. M ZERROUG <sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> LABORATORY OF APPLIED MICROBIOLOGY, FACULTY OF NATURAL AND LIFE SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY  
FERHAT ABBAS SETIF 1, ALGERIA

**RÉSUMÉ**

111 souches bactériennes ont été obtenues du criblage préliminaire, à partir des tests d'antagonisme en boîtes de pétri, 50 souches isolées de la pomme de terre et 61 à partir du blé. Environ 55% étaient Gram + et environ 46% étaient Gram-. Quatorze souches bactériennes de pomme de terre ont révélé une activité antagoniste *in vitro* contre les champignons phytopathogènes, *Phytophthora infestans*, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. Sp. *Albedinis* et *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum* avec un pourcentage d'inhibition allant de 0 à 92,3 %. Vingt-quatre souches bactériennes de blé avaient une activité antagoniste *in vitro* contre les champignons étudiés avec un taux de 0 à 87%. Ceci montre un début prometteur pour la détection des sols suppressifs dans la région Sétif.

Mots-clés : Antagonisme; Blé, pomme de terre; suppression; Rhizosphère.

**ABSTRACT**

**INDIGENOUS BACTERIAL STRAINS WITH SUPPRESSION PROPERTIES FROM TWO DIFFERENT RHIZOSPHERES**

111 bacterial strains were obtained in the preliminary screening, from the antagonism test plates, 50 from potato and 61 from wheat. About 55% were Gram+ and about 46% were Gram-. Fourteen bacterial strains from potato revealed an antagonistic activity *in vitro* against the phytopathogenic fungi, *Phytophthora infestans*, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* and *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum* with a percentage of inhibition varying from 0 to 92.30%. Twenty four bacterial strains from wheat had an antagonistic activity *in vitro* against the studied fungi with a range from 0 to 87%. This shows a promising beginning for detecting suppressive soils in Sétif aria.

Keywords: Antagonism; wheat, potato; suppression; rhizosphere.

## INTRODUCTION

Healthy soil is not only a fertile soil that provides a good physicochemical environment to plants but also provides a good biotic environment that allows plants to resist against pathogens. This soil reflects the appropriate balance between the functions of the microbial community which result in suppression of plant diseases. Susceptibility to the disease is highly specific to the pathogen and is probably dependent in: differentiation of resources needed for the pathogen, sensitivity of the pathogen to the reactions of plant resistance, and all of these factors at once (Nion and Toyota, 2008). Diseases caused by plant pathogens are causing the imbalance in the microbial community which provides the later development of deleterious microorganism populations; this disturbance is usually caused by human. Plant diseases are rare in natural vegetation probably because of: vegetal diversity, genetic heterogeneity of host plants populations, host resistance, and interactions with various antagonistic microorganisms. So in suppressive soil (healthy soil) the incidence remains low despite the presence of the pathogen and the environmental conditions, that are favorable for the disease expression on susceptible host plant (Alabouvette *et al.*, 2006) such as: culture techniques (monoculture), the application of a very high frequency of plantations, pesticides, and fertilizers. Suppressive soils are already known for various pathogens as *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*, *Pythium* sp., *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Streptomyces scabies* (Weller *et al.*, 2002; Alabouvette *et al.*, 2006). In these soils, pathogens are limited in their ability to establish or to produce disease symptoms. Soil suppressiveness can be due to the soil physico-chemical characteristics such as texture, pH, and Ca contents (Höper and Alabouvette, 1996). Soil biota (antagonistic microorganisms) can play a key role in soil suppressiveness too, by controlling the pathogen through competition, antibiosis, parasitism or enhancement of plant resistance. So far, most studies have dealt with the fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp., because many *Pseudomonas* strains are involved in biological control of various soil-borne plant pathogens (Sharifi-Tehrani *et al.*, 1998; Weller *et al.*, 2002); as well as many *Bacillus* strains express activities that suppress necrotizing pathogens/parasites or otherwise promote plant growth (Choudhary and Johri, 2009). Antibiotic-producing *Pseudomonas* isolates have also been used as wheat inoculant for biological control of the pathogen *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* (Thomashow and Weller, 1988; Keelet *et al.*, 1992; Raaijmakers and Weller, 1998).

The aim of this study is the isolation and selection of indigenous bacterial strains with antifungal activity from the potato and wheat rhizospheres, in the east of Algeria.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### FUNGAL STRAINS

Five phytopathogenic fungi were used in the study, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* (INRA Algiers), *Fusarium solani* (LMA, Sétif Algeria), *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (LMA, Setif Algeria), *Pythophthora infestans* (LMA, Sétif Algeria) and *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum* (Institut Pasteur, Paris France), and a referenced antagonistic bacterium *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* 30-84 which was a gift of Pr HAAS (Lausanne, Switzerland).

### PRELIMINARY SCREENING : SAMPLING, ISOLATION AND SELECTION OF BACTERIA

Soil rhizosphere samples were obtained from potato and wheat crops fields located in Sétif, Algeria, known for their high outputs of wheat production (the samples were taken according to a random sampling). Soil samples were taken at 15-20 cm depth in the upper profile; the sampling was done by separating the different soil strata: rhizosphere soil, spermosphere and clinging soil to potato tubers or wheat roots. The collected samples were placed in sterile plastic bags until use; the soil was first dried at 28°C for 24h, then sieved (1.5 mm mesh) to remove small stones and plant roots. First, the soil pH was determined according to (Tivoli *et al.*, 1990). The screening of bacteria with antifungal activity was performed as described by (Léon *et al.*, 2009), with some modifications. One gram of rhizospheric soil sample was suspended in 5 ml of 0.9% (w/v) NaCl, and then subjected to agitation in a rotary shaker for 15mn. After decantation, a loopful of the supernatant fraction from a serial

dilution ( $10^{-4}$ ) was streaked on one edge of potato dextrose agar or King B agar plates, on the other edge a plug (6mm) taken from 5 days culture of pathogenic fungi mycelium growing on PDA plates at room temperature was placed. Plates were incubated at 27°C for a week. Then, bacteria were purified from plates where hyphal growth inhibition was observed. The selected strains were then examined for Gram reaction, spore formation, and production of fluorescent pigments on King's B agar plates.

#### **ANTAGONISM *IN VITRO* AGAINST PATHOGENIC FUNGI**

The purified bacterial isolates were tested against *Fusarium solani*, *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis*, and *Phytophthora infestans*. The hyphal inhibition was measured by comparing the growth diameter in treatment with control, as previously described by (Léon *et al.*, 2009).

### **RESULTS**

#### **PRELIMINARY SCREENING**

The screening strategy carried out in this work consisted of the isolation of culturable bacteria strains capable of antagonistic activity against the studied phytopathogenic fungi (Deuteromycetes and Oomycetes).

A primary selection was made from the antagonism plates where the confluent growth of bacteria from the studied rhizospheres inhibited the development of fungal mycelia.

111 bacterial strains which were obtained in the preliminary screening, from the antagonism test plates are as follows, 50 were from potato and 61 from wheat. Considering the most active bacteria, the isolates from potato are composed by, 50% Gram<sup>+ve</sup> among them 91% were spore-formers, 46% Gram<sup>-ve</sup> and 4% failed to grow; while those of wheat are 55.73% Gram<sup>+ve</sup> (43.9% sporeformers) and 45.27% Gram<sup>-ve</sup> (Pure bacterial cultures isolated from those plates were tested for antagonism against phytopathogenic fungi).

#### **ANTAGONISM *IN VITRO***

During preliminary screening of rhizospheric bacterial isolates, only 28% (14 isolates) and 39 % (24 isolates) from potato and wheat were found to inhibit the growth of pathogens *in vitro*. Among the selected strains as "antagonists", 28.57% were Gram<sup>+ve</sup> from Potato 54.16% Gram<sup>+ve</sup> from Wheat, and 71.42% and 45.83% Gram<sup>-ve</sup> from Potato and Wheat respectively (Table I and Table II).

Looking for bacteria with a wide range of antifungal action, the fourteen bacterial strains from potato revealed an antagonistic activity *in vitro* against the phytopathogenic fungi, *Phytophthora infestans*, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* and *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*. The percentage of inhibition varied between 0 and 92.30% depending on isolates and the considered pathogen. Accordingly, three isolates showed an interesting activity against the phytopathogenic fungi tested (Table I). The 14 bacterial antagonists selected from the previous screening were studied *in vitro* for their antagonistic activity against the pathogen have shown different degrees of antagonism.

Table I : Characteristics of the 14 potato isolates inhibiting the growth of pathogens *in vitro*  
 Caractéristiques des isolats de pomme de terre inhibant la croissance des pathogènes *in vitro*

Z	pH	Strains	G	Inhibition rates %			Cell shape	
				Mitosporic fungi				
				Fsc	Foa	Pi		
1	7.50- 7.83	2 <sup>a</sup>	+	37.5	53.48	Nd	Bacilli	
		5 <sup>a</sup>	-	34.7	76.74	Nd	Bacilli	
		9 <sup>a</sup>	-	6.94	6.97	Nd	Bacilli	
		8 <sup>b</sup>	+	0	53.84	Nd	Bacilli	
		11 <sup>b</sup>	+	6.25	37.5	0	Bacilli	
2	<7	16 <sup>b</sup>	-	41.25	42.5	0	Ovoid bacilli	
		17 <sup>b</sup>	-	85	1.25	30	Ovoid bacilli	
		18 <sup>b</sup>	-	46.2	Nd	34.21	Ovoid bacilli	
		20 <sup>b</sup>	-	82.5	92.30	63.15	Ovoid bacilli	
		22 <sup>b</sup>	-	52.5	43.75	0	Bacilli	
		24 <sup>b</sup>	-	32.5	32.5	2.5	Small bacilli	
		2 <sup>c</sup>	+	Nd	Nd	35.75	Big bacilli	
		8.26- 8.60	6 <sup>c</sup>	-	Nd	Nd	86.25	Small bacilli
			9 <sup>c</sup>	-	Nd	Nd	7.5	Bacilli

Z: zone (1: spermosphere, 2: rhizosphere); pH: soil pH; G: Gram; Fsc: *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*, Foa :*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis*, Pi : *Phytophthora infestans*; Nd: not determined; 2a, 8b, 16b, 22b, 24b.....9c: bacterial affiliated numbers, a:from the first field, b: from the second field and c: from the third field.

While the 24 isolates from wheat, the percentage of inhibition varied between 0 and 87.5%. The isolates noted 11(4) and 14(4) have shown the highest degree of inhibition *in vitro*. The isolate noted 11(4) was identified as *Bacillus* sp. while the isolate noted 14(4) was identified as *Pseudomonas* sp. (which produces siderophores on KB medium, data not shown). *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* 30-84 (positive control) has inhibited *Phytophthora infestans* with 55.3 %, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* with 41.17 % and *Fusarium solani* var. *Coeruleum* with 59.17%. 30% of the fluorescent *Pseudomonads* (4(4) ; 6(4) ; 3 ; 14(4) ; 17 ; 20) isolated inhibited mycelial growth of *Phytophthora infestans in vitro*.

Tableau II : Characteristics of the 24 wheat isolates inhibiting the growth of pathogens *in vitro*  
 Caractéristiques des isolats de blé inhibant la croissance des pathogènes *in vitro*

Z	pH	Strains	G	Inhibition rates %			Cell shape
				Mitosporic fungi		Oomycete	
				Fsc	Foa	Pi	
1	7.65	1(1)	+	46.25	41.25	Nd	Ovoid bacilli
		4(1)	+	62.5	41.25	Nd	Bacilli
	7.90	2(2)	-	Nd	35	Nd	Bacilli
		6.46	1(3)	--	62.5	41.25	0
	7.66	2(4)	+	50	Nd	Nd	Ovoid bacilli
		3(4)	+	76.2	21.25	36.25	bacilli
		4(4)	-	57.5	15	32.5	Ovoid bacilli
		5(4)	+	76.2	16.25	25	bacilli
	7.98	6(4)	-	87.5	Nd	11.25	Ovoid bacilli
		1	+	0	0	85	bacilli
		3	-	0	0	57	Ovoid bacilli
		4	-	0	78	Nd	Ovoid bacilli
	7.65	6	+	0	0	46.25	bacilli
		7(1)	-	50	37.51	Nd	Bacilli
		8(1)	+	0	32.5	0	Bacilli
	7.90	7(2)	+	8.75	41.25	17.5	Bacilli
8(2)		-	43.7	Nd	Nd	Bacilli	
10(4)		-	13.75	Nd	Nd	Ovoid bacilli	
2	7.66	11(4)	+	81.2	30	38.75	Bacilli
		12(4)	+	43.7	Nd	26.25	Ovoid bacilli
		13(4)	+	57.5	11.25	25	Ovoid bacilli
		14(4)	--	83.7	23.75	25	Ovoid Bacilli
	7.92	17	-	0	0	54.25	Ovoid bacilli
20		-	0	0	57.5	Bacilli	

Z: zone (1: spermosphere, 2: rhizosphere); pH: soil pH; Fsc: *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*, Foa : *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis*, PI : *Phytophthora infestans*; Nd: not determined; 10(4), 8(1), 7(2), 20: bacterial affiliated numbers, (1) (2) (3) and (4):first, second, third and the fourth field.

Among the Gram positive isolates obtained from the potato field, 14.28% inhibited *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*, 21.42% inhibited *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* whereas 14.28% inhibited *Phytophthora infestans*. While among the Gram-ve, 57.14% inhibited *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*, 50% inhibited *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* and 35.71% inhibited *Phytophthora infestans*. From the seven Gram-ve inhibitory strains colonizing the rhizosphere, only one strain inhibited the three phytopathogenic tested fungi.

Concerning Wheat rhizosphere isolates, among the 11 Gram-ve isolates 45.45% inhibited *Phytophthora infestans*, 54.54% inhibited *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum*, and only 45.45% inhibited *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis*. On the other hand, 84.61% of the Gram+ve inhibited *Phytophthora infestans*, 73.92% inhibited *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum* and 73.92% inhibited *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis*.

The pH values of wheat rhizosphere roots and spermosphere are neutral to slightly basic respectively, 7.27 and 7.98; while those from potato are slightly acidic to basic respectively from <7 to 8.60.

## DISCUSSION

Several groups of bacteria are able to survive in the presence of other microorganisms and display and suppress telluric fungal growth (Mercado-Blanco *et al.*, 2004; Léon *et al.*, 2009).

We focused on bacterial genera that are often found in large populations in soils with general disease suppression (Mercado-Blanco *et al.*, 2004; Léon *et al.*, 2009), such as positive spore-forming Gram-positive species belonging to the *Bacillus* genus and Gram-negative ones belonging to *Pseudomonas* (Léon *et al.*, 2009).

Our results are consistent with the early raised hypothesis that this group of microorganisms is responsible for this kind of phenomenon in the soil, and supported by the several reports (Kuklinsky-Sobral *et al.*, 2005; Adesina *et al.*, 2007; Léon *et al.*, 2009).

Soil suppressiveness to fusarium wilt or flax was conditioned not only by the density but also by the composition of the population of microorganisms. Similar results were reported by (Tamiatti and Pramotton, 1990) especially for non-pathogenic *F. oxysporum*, moreover their activity was not influenced by the physical or chemical characteristics of the tested soil samples.

Similar results have already reported about bacteria belonging to the same genera, against *Pythium ultimum*; another soil borne Oomycete. While bacteria belonging to the genera *Bacillus* inhibited *Phytophthora infestans* as well as *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis*, *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum* but with less activity (Validov *et al.*, 2007; Nion and Toyota, 2008; Léon *et al.*, 2009).

Our results are consistent with those of (Kamilova *et al.*, 2005), they had selected 16 isolates of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* exhibiting the characteristic to colonize the rhizosphere of tomato, among which a single isolate effectively inhibited four of the five fungi tested. (Léon *et al.*, 2009) reported that within 150 initial isolates that inhibited *Fusarium solani* BNM400 more than 40% with respect to the fungi growing alone, the number of selected isolates was then reduced to 80. Looking for bacteria with a wide range of antifungal action, six out of the 80 isolates were reselected after testing them against a panel of phytopathogenic fungi.

Variations in the indigenous bacterial populations may be related to the soil characteristics such as the soil pH. Höper *et al.* (1995) showed that an increase of the soil pH by the amendment with clay (montmorillonite or illite) induced a significant increase of the density of the endogenous populations of fluorescent *Pseudomonads*. Studying Châteaurenard and the Dijon soils which differ in their pH (respectively 8.05 and 6.91), Latour *et al.* (1996) speculated also that this differences may affect fluorescent *Pseudomonads* populations.

Otherwise, the difference between the selected populations could be also ascribed to variations in the amounts and compositions of the compounds exuded by the cultivated plant species (potato and wheat), the availability of the root exudates may vary with different clay contents (Rovira and Ridge, 1973; Mozafar *et al.*, 1992; Meharg and Killham, 1995; Latour *et al.*, 1996).

A study of the relations between the pH of soils and their level of conduciveness to *F. solani* var. *coeruleum* and *F. roseum* var. *sambucinum* has been carried out by (Tivoli *et al.*, 1990). They observed a direct relation between the low values of pH and the suppressiveness of soils to *F. solani* var. *coeruleum*. The critical value between conductive and suppressive soils is about pH 5.3 ; under this, most soils are suppressive. They speculate that the pathogen persists in the conductive soils with chlamydospores whereas in the suppressive soils, the pathogen is completely lysed and the formation of resting spores is inhibited. The pH of the soil studied here is higher, that's why the *F. solani* var. *coeruleum* is not inhibited in antagonistic tests.

Several bacterial mechanisms have been described for the protection of plants against fungal diseases. Independently, of the mode of action used, evidence exists correlating the efficacy of bacterial strains with control soil-borne pathogen with their ability to competitively colonize and survive in the root system of the plant to be protected (Pliego *et al.*, 2007).

## CONCLUSION

The screening procedure demonstrated to be very effective. The main goal of this work was accomplished and it shows a promising beginning for detecting suppressive soils in Sétif area. The practical significance of this type of studies acquires its real importance when considering the need to replace chemical control procedures for the treatment of soil and/or plant diseases. This implies that the antagonists isolated have potential to be used as treatment to seeds and tubers.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Ministry of Higher Education and Research, under research projects: CNEPRU N° **F01220100022** and PNR **1/u19/332**.

## BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- Adesina MF, Lembke A, Costa R, Speksnijder A, Smalla K. Screening of bacterial isolates from various European soils for in vitro antagonistic activity towards *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum*: site-dependent composition and diversity revealed. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2007;39(11):2818–2828.
- Alabouvette C, Olivain C, Steinberg C. Biological control of plant diseases: The European situation. *Eur. J. Plant. Pathol.* 2006;114:329–341.
- Choudhary D K, Johri BN. Interactions of *Bacillus* spp. and plants – With special reference to induced systemic resistance (ISR) *Microbiol. Res.* 2009;164(5):493-513.
- Höper H, Alabouvette C. Importance of physical and chemical soil properties in the suppressiveness of soils to plant diseases. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 1996;32:41–58.
- Höper H, Steinberg C, Alabouvette C. Involvement of clay type and pH in the mechanisms of soil suppressiveness to fusarium wilt of flax. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 1995;27:955–967.
- Kamilova F, Validov S, Azarova T, Mulders I, Lugtenberg B. Enrichment for enhanced competitive plant root tip colonizers selects for a new class of biocontrol bacteria. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2005;7(11):1809–1817.
- Keel C, Schinder U, Maurhofer M, Voisard C, Laviller J, Burger U, Wirthner P, Haas D, Défago G. Suppression of root diseases by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHAO: Importance of the bacterial secondary metabolite 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interac.* 1992;5:4-13.
- Kuklinsky-Sobral J, Araujo WL, Mendes R, Pizzirani-Kleiner AA, Azevedo JL. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from soybean (*Glycine max*) grown in soil treated with glyphosate herbicide. *Plant and Soil.* 2005;273(1-2):91–99.
- Latour X, Corberand T, Laguerre G, Allard F, Lemanceau P. The composition of fluorescent *Pseudomonad* populations associated with roots is influenced by plant and soil type. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1996;62:2449-2456.
- Léon M, Yaryura PM, Montecchia MS, Hernandez AI, Correa OS, Pucheu NL, Kerber NL, Garcia AF. Antifungal activity of selected indigenous *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* from the soybean rhizosphere. *Inter. J. Microbiol.* 2009;1–9.
- Meharg AA, Killham K. Loss of exudates from the roots of perennial ryegrass inoculated with a range of micro-organisms. *Plant Soil.* 1995;170:345–349.
- Mercado-Blanco J, Rodriguez-Jurado D, Hervas A, Jimenez-Diaz RM. Suppression of *Verticillium* wilt in olive planting stocks by root-associated fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. *Bio. Control.* 2004;30(2):474–486.
- Mozafar A, Duss F, Oertli JJ. Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on the root exudates of two tomato mutants differently sensitive to Fe chlorosis. *Plant Soil.* 1992;144:167– 176.
- Nion YA, Toyota K. Suppression of bacteria wilt and *Fusarium* wilt by a *Burkholderia nodosa* strain isolated from Kalimantan soils, Indonesia. *Microbes Environ.* 2008;23(2):134–141.
- Pliego C, Cazorla FM, Gonzalez-Sanchez MA, Perez-Jimenez RM, De Vicente A, Ramos C. Selection for biocontrol bacteria antagonistic toward *Rosellinia necatrix* by enrichment of competitive avocado root tip colonizers. *Res. Microbiol.* 2007;158:463- 470.

- Raaijmakers JM, Weller DM. Natural plant protection by 2,4-diacetyl-phloroglucinol-producing *Pseudomonas* spp. in Take-all decline soils. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interac.* 1998;11:144-152.
- Rovira AD, Ridge EH. Exudation of <sup>14</sup>C-labelled compounds from wheat roots: influence of nutrients, microorganisms and added organic compounds. *New Phytol.* 1973;72:1081–1087.
- Sharifi-Tehrani A, Zala M, Natsch A, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Défago G. Biocontrol of soilborne fungal plant diseases by 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol-producing fluorescent pseudomonads with different restriction profiles of amplified 16S rDNA. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 1998;104:631–643.
- Tamietti G, Pramotton R. La réceptivité des sols aux fusarioses vasculaires : rapports entre résistance et microflore autochtone avec référence particulière aux *Fusarium* non pathogènes. *Agronomie.* 1990;10:69–76. French.
- Thomashow LS, Weller DM. Role of a phenazine antibiotic from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in biological control of *Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici*. *J. Bacteriol.* 1988;170:3499-3508.
- Tivoli B, Corbière R, Lemarchand E. Relation entre le pH des sols et leur niveau de réceptivité à *Fusarium solani* var. *coeruleum* et *Fusarium roseum* var. *sambucinum* agents de la pourriture sèche des tubercules de pomme de terre. *Agronomie.* 1990;10:63-68. French.
- Validov S, Kamilova F, Qi S, Stephan D, Wang JJ, Makarova N, Lugtenberg B. Selection of bacteria able to control *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* in stone-wool substrate. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 2007;102:461-471.
- Weller DM, Raaijmakers JM, McSpadden Gardener BB, Thomashow LS. Microbial populations responsible for specific soil suppressiveness to plant pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2002;40:309–348.